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* Abstract, keywords, and reference (5 pts)

Good, no issues

5/5

* Introduction (25 pts)

Feels a bit sparse. You did a good job addressing the socio-economic issues, but in the introduction you should also discuss some of the potential statistical issues.

21/25

* Literature Review (25 pts)

This is really good. Great job analyzing the literature and tying it back to your work.

25/25

* Methodology (55 pts)

Your data exploration is really well done. Good job tying the exploration to the models you used.

Make sure you cite R packages you use such as glmnet. Not sure what you mean when you say ‘random effects account for situations where uncertainty comes from an individual predictor’.

Your AR(1) component still isn’t written correctly.

53/55

* Experimentation and Results (55 pts)

Very good discussion here. No real issues, however careful on trying to interpret or say anything about the number of covariates for a saturated model. A saturated model has $n$ covariates where $n$ is the number of data points in the model.

54/55

* Discussion and Conclusions including ethical considerations (35 pts)

Good work with the ethical discussion. I appreciate the limitation and future work paragraphs as well.

35/35